UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,283	02/15/2002	Makoto Iwayama	NIT-163-02	9044
	7590 04/17/200 & STANGER, P.C.		EXAMINER	
1700 DIAGON	AL ROAD, SUITE 330		ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2165	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/075,283	IWAYAMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	NEVEEN ABEL JALIL	2165	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MAILING I	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u> and 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ The 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 11,13,15,17 and 19 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11,13, 15, 17, and 19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deposition of the second and according to the second acco	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/075,283 Page 2

Art Unit: 2165

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 15-December -2008 has been entered.
- 2. The amendment filed on 3-February -2009 has been received and entered. Claims 11, 13, 15, 17, and 19 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 11, 13, 15, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by SenseMaker: an information-exploration interface supporting the contextual evolution of a user's interests. Baldonado et al. ACM pub. March 1997. (from here on in <u>Baldonado</u>).

As to claim 11, <u>Baldonado</u> discloses a computer implemented service of searching documents wherein servers comprising document databases and programs to manipulate said

databases are dispersed over a network and a client connected to said servers performs a document search, said service providing a document search method comprising the steps of:

making a first search input of a set of keywords, fragments of a document or any desired set of documents to a first document database (See page 2, section titled: Initial Search);

conducting a first search of said first document database based on said first search input (See page 2, section titled: Initial Search);

retrieving at least one document <u>from said first document database</u> as a result of said first search (See page 2, section titled: Initial Search);

selecting and inputting at least one of said at least one retrieved document to said first document database (See page 3, section titled: Expanding the Collection);

making a weighted term list, whereby each term is given a first weight, from said at least one inputted document, the first weight of each term reflecting the importance of the term in the first document database;

wherein a user interface is provided in which any of said at least one document retrieved by said first search is selected or deselected, and a set of said at least one document that is selected via said interface is used in making the first weighted term list; and

selecting at least one term from said weighted term list, expanding said first search with a second search of a second document using said at least one term selected from said weighted term list as a second search input for performing said second search retrieving at least one document from said second document database as a result of said second search (See Figure 2, and see pages 5-6 sections related to Expand Actions),

Art Unit: 2165

calculating a second weight of each term <u>used as the second search input</u>, which reflects the importance of <u>each said</u> term, to each retrieved from the second document database by the second search (wherein if a first weight is calculated a second weight can also be calculated and indexed, see pages 3-4, seconds related to expanding the collection);

finding out a relevance of each retrieved from the second document database by calculating an overall weight on both said weighted term list and the calculated second weights for each document with respect to terms common in both (wherein the results are combined "accumulated results" page 7); and

displaying results of said finding step on a display unit (wherein a user interface inherently displays search results).

As to claims 13, 17, and 19, <u>Baldonado</u> discloses wherein the client transmits said at least one document to a server where said first document database is stored, receives the weighted term list from said first server, sends the second search input to a second server where said second document database is stored, and receives a search result from the search of said second document database (See page 2, section titled: SenseMaker implementation overview, wherein "weight term list" is simply ranked search results, wherein its inherent that a database in a search engine environment can be tied to its own server, the Internet is constructed of multiple database servers sending and sharing information between them).

Note: claim 15 is rejected similarly to claim 11 yet it does not claim a second weight is being calculated.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,283 Page 5

Art Unit: 2165

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. For complete list of cited relevant prior art, see PTO-Form 892.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neveen Abel-Jalil whose telephone number is 571-272-4074. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christian Chace can be reached on 571-272-4190. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,283 Page 6

Art Unit: 2165

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Neveen Abel-Jalil Primary Examiner April 13, 2008 /Neveen Abel-Jalil/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2165